

Canopy height and its relationship with leaf area index and light interception in tropical grasses

JANERSON J. COÊLHO¹, JOSÉ C.B. DUBEUX JR.², ERICK R.S. SANTOS¹, JOÃO M.C. LEÃO NETO¹, MÁRCIO V. DA CUNHA¹, MÉRCIA V.F. DOS SANTOS¹, ALEXANDRE C.L. DE MELLO¹ AND MÁRIO DE A. LIRA¹

¹Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco (UFRPE), Recife, PE, Brazil. www.ufrpe.br

²University of Florida, IFAS North Florida Research and Education Center, Marianna, FL, USA. nfrec.ifas.ufl.edu

Keywords: Forage measurements, morphology, correlation, radiation penetration, warm-season grasses.

Introduction

Photosynthetically active tissues, mainly green leaves, are the major component of forage growth and development. The amount of these tissues in a forage plant is influenced directly by cutting management, i.e. cutting frequency and stubble height. The normal recommendation is to cut (or graze) forage whenever it reaches a given stubble height. Brougham (1956) stated that the critical leaf area index (LAI) is reached when the forage canopy is intercepting 95% of the photosynthetically active radiation, and the forage is near its maximum growth rate without shading itself. Alternatively, the optimum LAI occurs when the forage reaches the maximum point of mass accumulation, indicating the time to start grazing or cutting. Generally, the critical and optimum LAI values are quite similar, but not necessarily the same (Brown and Blaser 1968). This trial evaluated the relationships among canopy height, LAI and light interception in 10 tropical grasses.

Methods

The experiment was carried out at the Animal Science Department of the Universidade Federal Rural de Pernambuco. The evaluated species were: *Brachiaria decumbens*, *Brachiaria* hybrid cv. Mulato II, *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Xaraés, *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu, *Panicum maximum* cv. Tanzânia, *Panicum* hybrid cv. Massai, *Sorghum vulgare*, *Sorghum bicolor*, *Pennisetum purpureum* “Common” and *P. purpureum* cv. Roxo. Light interception, LAI and mean leaf angle (MLA) were measured using a Plant Canopy Imager CI-120 from CID Bio-science®, simultaneously with canopy height, at 1-week intervals over 2 months, in experimental plots

measuring 2.8 x 1.8 m. Measurements were replicated 4 times per plot in every weekly evaluation. Correlation analyses were performed using Sigmaplot 12.0, and the correlation magnitude was based on Franzblau (1958).

Results and Discussion

Correlation analyses between canopy height and light interception (Table 1) were non-significant ($P > 0.05$) for the following species: *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Xaraés, *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu, *Brachiaria* hybrid cv. Mulato II, *Panicum maximum* cv. Tanzânia, *Pennisetum purpureum* cv. Roxo and *Sorghum vulgare*. Positive linear correlations were shown for *Brachiaria decumbens* and *Panicum* hybrid cv. Massai ($P < 0.05$; $r = 0.44$ and 0.47 , respectively) and *Sorghum bicolor* and *Pennisetum purpureum* “Common” ($P < 0.01$; $r = 0.65$). Correlation between canopy height and leaf area index showed that, only in the case of *Brachiaria decumbens*, there was a moderate correlation ($r = 0.59$), with the coefficient of determination (r^2) = 0.35. LAI and light interception were significantly correlated for all species except *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Xaraés, *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu and *Brachiaria* hybrid cv. Mulato II.

In contrast with our findings, Galzerano et al. (2010) found, for *Panicum maximum* cv. Áries and *Cynodon nlemfuensis*, very strong correlation between canopy height and LAI, with coefficients of determination of 0.76 and 0.88, respectively. Engel et al. (1987), studying a cool season grass, *Bromus inermis*, found that LAI and light interception were strongly correlated with season of the year and fertilization level. They also found that forage mass per unit area correlated well with light interception of 95%. In the same study, the authors reported that, during the reproductive phase of *B. inermis*, tillers became elongated and the canopy became more erect and open, requiring higher values of LAI to intercept the same radiation as absorbed by a smaller and denser canopy.

Correspondence: José C.B. Dubeux Jr., University of Florida, North Florida Research and Education Center, 3925 HWY 71, Marianna, FL 32446, USA.

Email: dubeux@ufl.edu

Table 1. Correlations between canopy height, light interception, leaf area index and mean leaf angle of 10 tropical forage grasses (T-test, with linear correlation).

Species	Canopy height x Light interception	Canopy height x Leaf area index	Light interception x Leaf area index	Light interception x Mean leaf angle	Leaf area index x Mean leaf angle
<i>Brachiaria decumbens</i>	0.4372 *	0.5883 **	0.6589 **	0.2579 ns	0.8452 **
<i>Brachiaria brizantha</i> cv. Xaraés	0.0332 ns	-0.1521 ns	0.2352 ns	-0.2236 ns	0.8598 **
<i>Brachiaria brizantha</i> cv. Marandu	-0.2947 ns	0.1203 ns	0.2795 ns	-0.6464 **	0.4364 ns
<i>Brachiaria</i> hybrid cv. Mulato II	-0.3287 ns	-0.2558 ns	0.2959 ns	-0.4922 *	0.5366 *
<i>Panicum maximum</i> cv. Tanzânia	0.0835 ns	0.0625 ns	0.6936 **	-0.1863 ns	0.5411 *
<i>Panicum</i> hybrid cv. Massai	0.4728 *	0.4157 ns	0.4631 *	-0.1328 ns	0.5941 **
<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> “Common”	0.6478 **	0.2989 ns	0.8166 **	-0.6258 *	-0.3064 ns
<i>Pennisetum purpureum</i> cv. Roxo	0.4176 ns	0.3726 ns	0.8886 **	0.0774 ns	0.3358 ns
<i>Sorghum vulgare</i>	0.1099 ns	0.0866 ns	0.5244 *	0.1275 ns	0.2405 ns
<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>	0.6534 **	0.4639 ns	0.7207 **	-0.2698 ns	0.1608 ns

Falster and Westoby (2003) reported that small values for mean leaf angle are directly related to higher indices of light interception. In our study, LAI and mean leaf angle correlated positively ($P < 0.05$) and affected *Brachiaria* hybrid cv. Mulato II, *Panicum maximum* cv. Tanzânia, *Panicum* hybrid cv. Massai, *Brachiaria decumbens* and *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Xaraés. Mean leaf angle affected negatively ($P < 0.05$) light interception for only 3 species: *Brachiaria brizantha* cv. Marandu, *Brachiaria* hybrid cv. Mulato II and *Pennisetum purpureum* “Common”.

Conclusions

Canopy height seldom correlated well with light interception and leaf area index across a range of tropical grasses. Other measurements on the canopy, either directly as herbage mass or indirectly as disk settling height (Santillan et al. 1979), could be alternative measurements to correlate with light interception in tropical grasses.

References

Brougham RW. 1956. Effects of intensity of defoliation on regrowth of pastures. Australian Journal of Agricultural Research 7:377–387.

Brown RH; Blaser RE. 1968. Leaf area index in pasture growth. Herbage Abstracts 38:1–9.

Engel RK; Moser LE; Stubbendieck J; Lowry SR. 1987. Yield accumulation, leaf area index, and light interception of smooth brome grass. Crop Science 27:316–321.

Falster DS; Westoby M. 2003. Leaf size and angle vary widely across species: What consequences for light interception? New Phytologist 158:509–525.

Franzblau A. 1958. A primer of statistics for non-statisticians. Harcourt, Brace & World, New York, USA.

Galzerano L; Malheiros EB; Morgado ES; Ruggieri AC; Raposo E; Nepomuceno DD; Silva VC. 2010. Altura do dossel e índice de área foliar em capim Áries. Congresso de pós-graduação. X Encontro Latino Americano de Pós-Graduação, Universidade do Vale do Paraíba, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil. <http://goo.gl/21gjrQ> (15 March 2014).

Santillan RA; Ocumpaugh WR; Mott GO. 1979. Estimating forage yield with a disk meter. Agronomy Journal 71:71–74.



Coêlho JJ; Dubeux Jr JCB; Santos ERS; Leão Neto JMC; Cunha MV da; Santos MVF dos; Mello ACL de; Lira MA. 2014. Canopy height and its relationship with leaf area index and light interception in tropical grasses. *Tropical Grasslands – Forrajes Tropicales* 2:31–32.

DOI: [10.17138/TGFT\(2\)31-32](https://doi.org/10.17138/TGFT(2)31-32)

This paper was presented at the 22nd International Grassland Congress, Sydney, Australia, 15–19 September 2013. Its publication in *Tropical Grasslands – Forrajes Tropicales* is the result of a co-publication agreement with the IGC Continuing Committee. Except for adjustments to the journal's style and format, the text is essentially the same as that published in: **Michalk LD; Millar GD; Badgery WB; Broadfoot KM, eds. 2013. Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain our Communities. Proceedings of the 22nd International Grassland Congress, Sydney, Australia, 2013. New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Orange, NSW, Australia. p. 1068–1069.**