

Selecting new *Brachiaria humidicola* hybrids for western Brazilian Amazon

GISELLE M.L. DE ASSIS¹, CACILDA B. DO VALLE², CARLOS M.S. DE ANDRADE¹ AND JUDSON F. VALENTIM¹

¹Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Embrapa Acre, Rio Branco, AC, Brazil. www.cpafac.embrapa.br

²Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisa Agropecuária, Embrapa Gado de Corte, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil. www.cnpqg.embrapa.br

Keywords: Agronomic evaluation, forage breeding, genotypic values, mixed models, nutritional evaluation.

Introduction

Brachiaria humidicola is a perennial and stoloniferous forage grass, with excellent adaptation to infertile soils and waterlogging. Producers in northern Brazil have become interested in this species, especially after the degradation of large areas of lowly permeable soils sown with *B. brizantha* cv. Marandu, which is highly intolerant of soil waterlogging (Andrade and Valentim 2006). However, since only 3 cultivars of *B. humidicola* are registered in Brazil (Mapa 2013), there is a need to develop new cultivars adapted to the range of environmental conditions experienced. Recently, Embrapa Beef Cattle obtained intraspecific hybrids of *B. humidicola* that need to be evaluated agronomically, aiming at subsequent grazing trials and future releases. The objective of this study was to evaluate and select apomictic and sexual hybrids of *B. humidicola* under the environmental conditions of the state of Acre, in western Brazilian Amazon.

Methods

The research was conducted at the Experimental Station of Embrapa Acre in western Brazilian Amazon. Fourteen sexual and apomictic hybrids of *B. humidicola* and cv. Tully were evaluated, in a randomized block design with 6 replications in plots of 3 m². These hybrids were previously evaluated and selected from a small plot experiment with 50 hybrids at Campo Grande, MS, Brazil (Figueiredo et al. 2012).

The hybrids were planted by stolon pieces in December 2008 and, after establishment, plots were standard-

ized with cuts in April and July 2009. The experimental period was November 2009–June 2011, with 8 harvests, 2 in the dry season and 6 in the rainy season. The agronomic traits evaluated were: total dry matter (DM) yield and leaf DM yield, obtained after determining the percentage of leaves in the samples. Additionally, crude protein (CP), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), acid detergent fiber (ADF) and lignin concentrations were obtained from July 2009 and July 2010 harvests (dry season) and January, March and November 2010 harvests (rainy season).

The data were processed using a mixed model methodology, in that variances were estimated by restricted maximum likelihood and genotypic values were predicted by the best linear unbiased prediction methods. Initially, one analysis per harvest was run to verify the existence or not of heterogeneity of residual variances. Afterwards, analysis of the data including all harvests was conducted simultaneously. Phenotypic data were multiplied by h_i/h_g , as proposed by Resende et al. (2008), where h_i is the square root of heritability in harvest i and h_g is the square root of the mean of the heritabilities in all harvests. The significance of variances was verified by analysis of deviance (Resende et al. 2008). Genotypes were ranked on a selection index, in which the predicted genotypic values were standardized and traits received different weightings: total DM yield was weighted by 0.4; leaf DM yield by 0.4; NDF by 0.1; and ADF by 0.1. The other traits were not included in the selection index owing to lack of genetic variability.

Results and Discussion

There was genetic variability for total DM yield, leaf DM yield, NDF and ADF (Table 1). The lack of genetic variability for CP and lignin concentrations prevented selection of hybrids based on these traits. The ranking of genotypes was influenced by harvest, since the variance

Correspondence: Giselle Mariano L. de Assis, Embrapa Acre, Rodovia BR-364, km 14, Caixa Postal 321, Rio Branco CEP 69900-970, AC, Brazil.
Email: giselle.assis@embrapa.br

of genotype x harvest interaction was significant for all traits. Estimates of heritability in the genotype mean were moderate to high for total DM yield, leaf DM yield and NDF, but low to moderate for ADF. The remaining estimates were not significantly different from zero. The genotypic correlations between harvests were low to medium for total DM yield, leaf DM yield, NDF and ADF, indicating no high coincidence of the best genotypes in all harvests, which reinforces the importance of

including the effect of genotype x harvest in the model (Table 1). According to individual repeatabilities, 5, 4, 7, 15, 21 and 14 harvests would be required to achieve a determination coefficient of 80% in the plot evaluation of permanent phenotypic value for total DM yield, leaf DM yield, and CP, NDF, ADF and lignin concentrations, respectively. The experiment conducted has high accuracy for total DM yield, leaf DM yield and NDF; moderate for ADF; and low accuracy for CP and lignin (Table 1).

Table 1. Estimates of general mean (\bar{m}), genotypic variance (V_g), variance of the genotype x harvest interaction (V_{gh}), mean genotype heritability (h^2_m), genotypic correlations between harvests (r_{gh}), individual repeatability (r) and accuracy (Acc) for agronomic and nutritional traits of *Brachiaria humidicola* genotypes.

Trait ¹	\bar{m}	V_g	V_{gh}	h^2_m	r_{gh}	r	Acc (%)
TDM (kg/ha/harvest)	3038.8	119550.4**	353175.5**	62.7**	0.2529	0.4394	79.15
LDM (kg/ha/harvest)	1576.4	63624.6**	78528.1**	76.6**	0.4476	0.5019	87.55
CP (%)	5.52	0.0034 ^{ns}	0.1114**	7.4 ^{ns}	0.0298	0.3712	27.33
NDF (%)	70.24	0.2980**	0.5300**	58.1**	0.3599	0.2085	76.22
ADF (%)	36.65	0.0754**	0.2303**	37.1**	0.2468	0.1624	60.89
LIG (%)	4.01	0.0026 ^{ns}	0.2706**	2.8 ^{ns}	0.0097	0.2209	16.68

¹TDM: total dry matter yield; LDM: leaf dry matter yield; CP: crude protein; NDF: neutral detergent fiber; ADF: acid detergent fiber; LIG: lignin. ** Significant by the χ^2 test, at $P < 0.01$.

Classification of hybrids based on the selection index (Table 2) showed 9 genotypes superior to cv. Tully. Among these, there are 4 apomictic and 5 sexual hybrids. Sexual hybrids may be recombined in the recur-

rent selection program, while apomictic hybrids can advance to evaluation trials with animals, being candidates for release as new cultivars for western Brazilian Amazon.

Table 2. Ranking of *Brachiaria humidicola* hybrids and cv. Tully, based on the selection index obtained from predicted genotypic values by the method of best linear unbiased prediction.

Rank	Genotype	Rank	Genotype	Rank	Genotype
1	216 (S) ¹	6	297 (S)	11	138 (S)
2	289 (S)	7	350 (S)	12	111 (A)
3	193 (A)	8	146 (A)	13	29 (A)
4	242 (A)	9	185 (S)	14	3 (A)
5	88 (A)	10	Tully (A)	15	64 (S)

¹(S): sexual hybrid; (A): apomictic hybrid.

Conclusion

The variability and genetic superiority among the hybrids allows selection of apomictic genotypes for further evaluation with animals, with the ultimate release of new cultivars adapted to the environmental conditions of western Brazilian Amazon. The superior sexual hybrids

identified will allow recombination of these genotypes, enabling new cycles of evaluation and selection in the breeding program of *B. humidicola*. While the experimental precision for selection of hybrids is high for agronomic traits, precision of the nutritional traits is much lower and must be improved in order to minimize environmental influences.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Association for Promotion of Breeding Research in Tropical Forage (Unipasto) for financial support.

References

- Andrade CMS; Valentim JF. 2006. Soluções tecnológicas para a síndrome da morte do capim-marandu. In: Barbosa RA, ed. Morte de pastos de braquiárias. Embrapa Gado de Corte, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil. p. 175–197.
- Figueiredo UJ; Nunes JAR; Valle CB. 2012. Estimation of genetic parameters and selection of *Brachiaria humidicola* progenies using a selection index. Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology 12:237–244.
- Mapa (Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento). 2013. Registro Nacional de Cultivares. (Retrieved 20 March 2013 from www.agricultura.gov.br).
- Resende MDV; Resende RMS; Jank L; Valle CB. 2008. Experimentação e análise estatística no melhoramento de forrageiras. In: Resende RMS; Valle CB; Jank L, eds. Melhoramento de forrageiras tropicais. Embrapa Gado de Corte, Campo Grande, MS, Brazil. p. 195–287.

© 2013



Tropical Grasslands–Forrajes Tropicales is an open-access journal published by *Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT)*. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/>.

Assis GML de; Valle CB do; Andrade CMS de; Valentim JF. 2013. Selecting new *Brachiaria humidicola* hybrids for western Brazilian Amazon. *Tropical Grasslands – Forrajes Tropicales* 1:42–44.
DOI: [10.17138/TGFT\(1\)42-44](https://doi.org/10.17138/TGFT(1)42-44)

This paper was presented at the 22nd International Grassland Congress, Sydney, Australia, 15–19 September 2013. Its publication in *Tropical Grasslands – Forrajes Tropicales* is the result of a co-publication agreement with the IGC 2013 Organizing Committee. Except for adjustments to the journal's style and format, the text is essentially the same as that published in: **Michalk LD; Millar GD; Badgery WB; Broadfoot KM, eds. 2013. Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain our Communities. Proceedings of the 22nd International Grassland Congress, Sydney, Australia, 2013. New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Orange, NSW, Australia. p. 225–226.**