Decomposition of cattle dung on mixed grass-legume pastures

JOSÉ C.B. DUBEUX JR.¹, CAROLINA C. LIRA², ERINALDO V. DE FREITAS³, MÉRCIA V.F. DOS SANTOS^{2,5}, MÁRIO A. LIRA^{3,5}, CAROLINE DYKSTRA⁴, ERICK R.S. SANTOS² AND FERNANDO TENÓRIO FILHO³

¹University of Florida, North Florida Research and Education Center, Marianna, FL, USA. <u>http://nfrec.ifas.ufl.edu</u> ²Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil. www.ufpe.br

Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil. <u>www.utpe.bi</u>

³Instituto Agronômico de Pernambuco, Recife, PE, Brazil. <u>www.ipa.br</u>

⁴University of Guelph, Guelph, Canada. <u>www.uoguelph.ca</u>

⁵*CNPq fellow*. <u>www.cnpq.br</u>

Keywords: Nitrogen fertilization, nutrient cycling, fecal breakdown, organic matter, mineralization, immobilization.

Introduction

Animal excreta contribute positively to nutrient cycling and can improve the quality of soil (Dubeux Jr. et al. 2009; Carvalho et al. 2010). Cattle excrement, when evenly distributed over a pasture, can help to maintain plant nutrition without the application of fertilizers. The introduction of legumes intercropped with grasses benefits the soil by means of nitrogen fixation. When ruminant animals eat legumes, the feces produced may have lower C:N, C:P, lignin:N and lignin:P ratios, promoting better nutrient return to the soil than when cattle eat only grass. Given the importance of nutrient return from and decomposition time of cattle feces on pastures, the objective of this study was to quantify the decomposition of feces of heifers managed in mixed grassshrubby legume pastures and grass-only pastures.

Materials and Methods

The research was performed at the experimental research station of Itambé, run by the Instituto Agronômico de Pernambuco (IPA). Average precipitation during the experiment was 727 mm. The experiment examined the decomposition of feces of heifers grazing signal grass (*Brachiaria decumbens*) pastures or signal grass plus shrubby legumes. Treatments were: Signal grass in pure stand and not fertilized; signal grass in pure stand + 60 kg N/ha/yr; signal grass intercropped with *Mimosa caesalpiniifolia*; signal grass intercropped with *Leucaena*

leucocephala; signal grass intercropped with Bauhinia cheilantha; and signal grass intercropped with Gliricidia sepium. The pastures were planted in July 2008; legumes were planted in double rows spaced 10 m x 1.0 m x 0.5 m. Paddocks (plots) measured 660 m^2 and were individually fenced. Fecal samples were collected from cattle grazing/browsing the different pasture combinations, and dried at 65 °C for 72 hours. Samples were then exposed in nylon bags under field conditions (Dubeux Jr. et al. 2006) for 7 time periods (4, 8, 16, 32, 64, 128 and 256 days) with 3 replicates per exposure time, from 23 June 2010 to 26 February 2011. Losses of organic matter, nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) were assessed at each stage. The means were analyzed using the PROC MIXED procedure of SAS (SAS Institute 1996). A single exponential model (Wagner and Wolf 1999) was used for percentage loss of organic matter.

Results and Discussion

The *Brachiaria decumbens* + 60 kg N treatment had the highest rate of fecal biomass loss (k=0.0031 g/g/d), with 55% loss of organic matter over the 256-day exposure period. The lowest rate of loss was seen for the grass-*Mimosa caesalpiniifolia* treatment (k=0.0018 g/g/d), with 37% of the material decomposing over the same period. Loss rates for the grass-*Gliricidia sepium* and *B. decumbens* treatments were 0.0025 and 0.0027 g/g/d, respectively, while the grass-*Leucaena leucocephala* decomposition rate was close to that of the *B. decumbens* + 60 kg N treatment (Table 1). Losses of N, P and K were similar on the various treatments with marked losses over time (Figure 1). Loss of N over 256 days (16%) was much lower than that of phosphorus (60%) and potassium (99.6%).

Correspondence: José C.B. Dubeux Jr., University of Florida, North Florida Research and Education Center, 3925 HWY 71, Marianna, FL 32446, USA. Email: dubeux@ufl.edu

Treatment	Days of exposure (%)								Exponential model
	0	4	8	16	32	64	128	256	_
Brachiaria decumbens	90.2	89.2	88.3	86.4	82.7	75.9	63.8	45.2	$Y = 90.2^{-0.0027t}$
B. decumbens + 60 kg N/ha	87.3	86.2	85.2	83.0	78.9	71.4	58.4	39.1	$Y = 87.3^{-0.0031t}$
B. dec. + Gliricidia sepium	88.9	87.9	87.0	85.3	81.9	75.5	64.2	46.4	$Y = 88.8^{-0.0025t}$
B. dec. + Leucaena leucocephala	90.2	89.2	88.1	86.1	82.1	74.7	61.8	42.3	$Y = 90.2^{-0.0029t}$
B. dec. + Bauhinia cheilantha	88.6	87.8	87.0	85.58	82.4	76.7	66.3	49.7	$Y = 86.6^{-0.0023t}$
B. dec. + Mimosa caesalpiniifolia	90.5	89.6	89.2	87.9	85.4	80.6	71.8	57.9	$Y = 90.5^{-0.0018t}$

Table 1. Percentage of organic biomass of heifer feces from grass-legume pastures and grass-only pastures remaining after different exposure times in the field.



Figure 1. Percentage of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium in heifer feces from grass-legume and grass-only pastures remaining after different exposure times in the field.

Conclusions

Dung decomposition from cattle grazing on the *B.* decumbens - *M.* caesalpiniifolia system was lower than for dung in other grass-legume combinations. Secondary compounds in *Mimosa* may partially explain this pattern. While organic biomass loss from feces in nylon bags in mixed grass-legume and grass-only pastures was quite significant during the season, after 256 days, 45-73% of the organic matter remained on the various treatments. How these figures relate to natural conditions is open to debate, e.g. dung beetles etc. were excluded. It was significant that only a small proportion of N was lost from the dung during this period but most P and virtually all of the K had disappeared. These findings are significant for nutrient cycling and nutrient use efficiency.

References

- Carvalho PCF; Anghinoni I; Moraes A; Souza ED; Sule RM; Lang CL; Flores JPC; Lopes MLT; Silva JLS; Conte O; Wesp CL; Levien R; Fontaneli RS; Bayer C. 2010. Managing grazing animals to achieve nutrient cycling and soil improvement in no-till integrated systems. Nutrient Cycling in Agroecosystems 88:259–273.
- Dubeux Jr JCB; Sollenberger LE; Vendramini JMB; Stewart Jr RL; Interrante SM. 2006. Litter decomposition and mineralization in bahiagrass pastures managed at different intensities. Crop Science 46:1305–1310.
- Dubeux Jr JCB; Sollenberger LE; Gaston LA; Vendramini JMB; Interrante SM; Stewart Jr RL. 2009. Animal behavior and soil nutrient redistribution in continuously stocked Pensacola bahiagrass pastures managed at different intensities. Crop Science 49:1503–1510.

Hartel PG; Zuberer DA, eds. Principles and applications

of soil microbiology. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, NJ,

USA. p. 218-258.

SAS Institute. 1996. SAS statistics user's guide. Release version 6. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.

Wagner GH; Wolf DC. 1999. Carbon transformation and soil organic matter formations. In: Sylvia DM; Fuhrmann JJ;

© 2014



Tropical Grasslands-Forrajes Tropicales is an open-access journal published by *Centro Internacional de Agricultura Tropical (CIAT)*. This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Dubeux Jr JCB; Lira CC; Freitas EV de; Santos MVF dos; Lira MA; Dykstra C; Santos ERS; Tenório Filho F. 2014. Decomposition of cattle dung on mixed grass-legume pastures. Tropical Grasslands – Forrajes Tropicales 2:42–44.

DOI: <u>10.17138/TGFT(2)42-44</u>

This paper was presented at the 22nd International Grassland Congress, Sydney, Australia, 15–19 September 2013. Its publication in *Tropical Grasslands – Forrajes Tropicales* is the result of a co-publication agreement with the IGC Continuing Committee. Except for adjustments to the journal's style and format, the text is essentially the same as that published in: Michalk LD; Millar GD; Badgery WB; Broadfoot KM, eds. 2013. Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain our Communities. Proceedings of the 22nd International Grassland Congress, Sydney, Australia, 2013. New South Wales Department of Primary Industries, Orange, NSW, Australia. p. 1522–1523.