Effects of nitrogen fertilization on buffel grass productivity andd water efficience use

H. R. de Medeiros^{*}, J. C. B. Dubeux Jr.^{**}, e E. Sobral Neto^{***}

Introduction

The Buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*, L.) is perennial forage that tolerates semi-arid conditions, grazing and is not very exigent in soil fertility (Araujo Filho,1988). These qualities permits point the Buffel grass as a good alternative to improve the animal production in semi-arid zone of Brazil (Oliveira, 1996). The mean productivity of Buffel grass at semi-arid zone in Brazil is 5.5 t, on dry matter basis, of forage per hectare per year without correction of soil fertility (Oliveira, 1996). However, this production could be improved with use nitrogen fertilizer.

On the other hand, experiments that evaluate forage production with use nitrogen (N) or other fertilizer is not common in semiarid zone of Brazil. In this region, the soil is poor in fertility, principally in organic matter, and rain events as less and had an irregular distribution during the year (Aouad, 1984). In this way, water is not the unique limiting factor that affects the growth forage it is also associate with poor soil fertility conditions and a competition for nutrients with native species. For this reasons the research was carried out to study the effects of five levels (0. 60, 120, 240 and 480 kg/ha of N) of fertilization on production of aerial parts (leaf and stem) and roots, leaf/stem ratio, tillering number and water use efficiency in Buffel grass (Cenchrus ciliaris, L.).

Material and methods

This research was carried out at green house in Animal Science Department of Federal Rural University of Pernambuco (UFRPE). It evaluates the effects of five levels of N fertilization: 0; 60; 120; 240 e 480 kg/ha divided in five applications each level.

It was utilized plastic vases with carrying capacity to 10 liters of water. The vases was filled with a Podzolic soil with low fertility (pH = 5.4, P = 3.4 ppm; K = 31 ppm, Ca + Mg = 2.3 e.mg./100g of soil, Al = 0.10 e.mg./ 100 g of soil; Na = 15 ppm) according chemical analyses. During the planting, it was done correction of soil fertility, in all treatments, with 100 kg/ha and 200 kg/ha, respectively, with P₂O₅ and K₂O.

The planting of seed was done and after emerged, the plant population per vase was controlled, being used 6 plants/vase. The standard cut was done at 10 cm of high and 35 days after seedling. After that, each 28 days of growth all of treatments were harvested. The N fertilizer was applied after each harvest.

It was evaluated the dry matter production of aerial parts (leaf and stem) (PAP) and roots (RP), leaf/stem ratio (LSR), number of tillers per vase (NT) and water use efficiency (WUE). The PAP was the forage produced bellow 10 cm of high and it was obtained from the sum of five harvests per experimental unit. The RP was evaluated at the end of experiment, where the roots was washed and put in an air forced stuff regulated to maintain temperature between 60 to 65°C for the necessary period to constant weight. The LSR was determined by separation of all leaf and stem parts, weight separately and considering the values expressed in dry matter (DM) basis. The NT was determined by counting the total number of tillers per vase. The WUE was calculated by the quotient between the total of

^{*} Veterinary, DSc, mail:hrdemedeiros@yahoo.com.br

^{**} Agronomy, PhD, Professor in Department of Zootecnia UFRPE; e-mail: dubeux@ufl.edu

^{***}Zootecnist

water used in each experimental unit between its productions. The experimental design utilized in this research was a complete randomized block with three repetitions for each treatment. After the average comparation, by Tukey test, it was done an analysis of regression between the level of production and PAP, RP, NT, LSR and WUE.

Results and discussion

I was observed a positive response of Buffel grass to N fertilization, with an increase of production of aerial parts and root in this species (Table 1). The highest level of N fertilization produced 276% more when compared without fertilizer. Besides, the fertilizer increase the water use efficient of grass and plants without N need 233% more water to produce the same quantity when compared to treatment with 480 kg/ha of N. In the semi-arid regions, the production of the Buffel grass could be increase in two times or more with use N fertilization, it results in a better water use efficiency for the plants and saving this important and scarce to these regions (Wiedenfeld et al., 1982). These results agree with Tabosa et al. (1990) that obtained an increase of water use efficiency of sorghum spp. in semi-arid zone of Brazil when organic fertilization was utilized in this crop. They observed that not only water is the limiting factor of growth plants, but is associated with others factors like soil fertility and structure.

According to the analyses coefficient of determination (\mathbb{R}^2) , expressed in Table 1, is positively and have statistical significance (P <0.05), except LSR, according the Table proposed by Little and Hills (1972). The LSR was not affected by fertilization (P > 0.05), but this values was bigger when compared with others from Brazil data (Araújo Filho, 1988). Probably it is a consequence of the high (10 cm) used to harvest the plants. It results on an underestimation of participation of stem on the crop growth. It could represent 50% of total growth of plant, in a tropical species (Pinto, 2000). The linear effects of N fertilization on the RP and NT evidence the importance of this practice in semi-arid regions. The root growth is very important to the production of plant increasing its capacity of absorption (Squire, 1990). This characteristic is especially important to the survival of plants in long dry periods, because it permits then absorbed more water!. On the other hand, the NT permits to the plant regrowth and accumulate more soluble carbohydrates to synthesize it.

These results, logically, could not be extrapolated to grasslands because was obtained in stuff with controlled conditions. But, it demonstrates that Buffel grass could increase the production and quality if soil corrections fertilizer practices was done. Probably, in regions where raining between 500 a 600 mm per year and an irregular distribution, like in semi-arid zone of Brazil, the optimum level of fertilizer will be lower than 100 kg/ha of N per year.

(WUE) of Buffel grass (Cenchrus ciliaris, L.). The values used are in dry matter basis.					
Fertilizer	APP	RP	LSR	NT	WUE ^a
(kg/ha, N)	(g/vase)	(g/vase)		(Tillers/vase)	
0	6.3	41.0	9.7	53	333
60	8.0	50.0	17.7	76	306
120	11.3	48.7	12.7	97	204
240	15.3	54.0	15.0	144	154
480	27.3	97.3	12.3	135	100
Linear effect	0.00002	0.00183	0.97977	0.00038	0.00005
\mathbb{R}^2	0.99	0.90	0.00	0.71	0.86
Quadratic effect	0.07369	0.24682	0.19238	0.00987	0.1385
\mathbb{R}^2	0.99	0.97	0.27	0.98	0.96
C.V.**	12.3	29.33	28.82	21.02	17.01

Table 1. Effects of five nitrogen fertilizer levels (0, 60, 120, 240 and 480 kg/ha) on aerial parts (leaf and stem) production (APP); Root production (RP); leaf stem ratio (LSR); number of tillers (NT) and water use efficiency (WUE) of Buffel grass (Cenchrus ciliaris, L.). The values used are in dry matter basis.

a. It was considered the water use efficiency (kg of water/kg of production on dry matter basis) at higer fertilization level (480 kg/ha) equal to 100%

* R^2 = coefficient of determination of equation.

** CV = Coefficient of variation.

Conclusions

The Buffel grass responds positively to nitrogen fertilization, increasing the production of aerial parts, roots, and tillering and water use efficiency. However, it had no effect on the leaf/stem ratio of plant. This indicates that more studies about the impact of nitrogen fertilizer on production of Buffel grass in grasslands conditions must be done.

Resumen

Bajo condiciones de invernadero del Departamento de Ciencias Animales de la Universidad Federla Rural de (UFRPE) se evaluaron los efectos de cinco niveles de fertilización nitrogenada (0, 60, 120, 240 y 480 kg/ha de N) en el desempeño del pasto Buffel (Cenchrus ciliaris, L.). El estudio se hizo potes plásticos de 10 lt de capacidad utilizando un Podzol de baja fertilidad (pH = 5.4, P = 3.4 ppm; K = 31 ppm, Ca + Mg = 2.3 e.mg. / 100 g de suelo,Al = 0.10 e.mg. / 100 g de suelo, Na = 15 ppm). Durante el establecimiento se aplicaron100 kg/ha de P_2O_5 y 200 kg/ha de K₂O. Se utilizó un diseño completamente al azar con tres repeticiones. Los parámetros evaluados fueron: producción de materia seca de la parte aérea (PMSPA), producción de materia seca de las raíces (PMSR), relación hoja/tallo (RHT), número de macollos (NM) y eficiencia de uso del agua(EUA). Los resultados demuestran un efecto linear (P < 0.002) sobre la PMSPA y PMSR y efectos lineales y cuadráticos sobre NM y EUA(P < 0.02). La RTH no fue influenciada por el uso de fertilizante nitrogenado. En resúmen, puede concluirse que el pasto Buffel responde positivamente a la fertilización nitrogenada.

Resumo

Foi avaliado o efeito de cinco níveis de adubação nitrogenada (0; 60; 120; 240 e 480 kg de N/ha) sob o desempenho do Capim Buffel (*Cenchrus ciliaris*, L.). O delineamento experimental utilizado foi inteiramente casualizado, com três repetições. Foram avaliados a produção de matéria seca da parte aérea (PMSPA), produção de matéria seca das raízes (PMSR), relação folha/colmo (RFC), número de perfilhos (NP) e eficiência de uso d'água (EUA). Foi constatado um efeito linear (P < 0,002) para PMSPA e PMSR e um efeito linear e quadrático (P < 0,02) para NP e EUA. A RFC não foi influenciada pela adubação nitrogenada. De maneira geral, pode-se concluir que o Capim Buffel respondeu positivamente à adubação nitrogenada.

References

- Aouad, M. dos S. 1986. Clima da Caatinga. En: Simpósio sobre Caatinga e sua Exploração Racional, 1984. Feira de Santana-BA. Anais. Brasília: Empresa Brasilera de Pesquisa Agropecuária (Embrapa-DDT). p. 37-48.
- Araújo Filho, J.A. 1988. Manejo de plantas forrageiras – *Cenchrus*. En: Simpósio sobre Manejo da Pastagem. 9. Piracicaba, 1988. Anais.. A. M Peixoto, J. C. de Moura e V.P. de Faria (eds.). Fundação de Estudos Agrarios Luiz de Queiro (FEALQ). p. 219-230.
- Little, T. M. e Hills, F. J. 1972. Statistical methods in agricultural research. Davis. University of California, 242 p.
- Oliveira, E. R. de. 1996. Alternativas de alimentação para a pecuária no semiárido nordestino. En: Simpósio Nordestino de Alimentação de Ruminantes, 6, Natal -RN, 1996. Anais. Natal. UFRN-Emparn. p. 127-135.
- Squire, G. R. 1990. The physiology of tropical crop production. CAB International. 236 p.
- Tabosa, J. N.; Santos, D. C. dos; Tavares Filho, J. J.; Nascimento, M. M. A. do; Farias, I.; Lira, M. de A.; Gomes, R. V.; Melo, P. C. S.; e Santos, M. C. S. dos. Eficiência da adubação orgânica anual sobre a produção de matéria seca e uso de água em sorgo forrageiro no Agreste semi-árido de Pernambuco. Pesquisa Agropecuária Pernambucana, número especial, julho/dezembro. vol. 7:7-20.
- Wiedenfeld, R. P.; Woodward, W. T. W.; e Hoverson, R. R. 1982. Dryland forage responses to nitrogen and phosphorus fertilization in South Texas. Texas Agric. Expt. St. PR - 4016. 9 p.