## Genetic <br> Resources <br> Communication

Genetic Resources Communication
No. 25, 1997
An evaluation of seventy-one accessions of Centrosema pascuorum at Katherine, Northern Australia
C.J. Thomson, R.J. Clements and R. Schultze-Kraft


ATFGRC, CSIRO Tropical Agriculture, 306 Carmody Road, St Lucia,

Genetic Resources Communication

No. 25, 1997

# An evaluation of seventy-one accessions of Centrosema pascuorum at Katherine, Northern Australia 

C.J. Thomson, R.J. Clements and R. Schultze-Kraft

# An evaluation of seventy-one accessions of Centrosema pascuorum at Katherine, Northern Australia 

C.J. Thomson ${ }^{1}$, R.J. Clements ${ }^{2}$ and R. Schultze-Kraft ${ }^{3}$<br>${ }^{1}$ CSIRO, Division of Tropical Crops and Pastures, Katherine. Present Address: 7 Kardinia St, Sunnybank, Qld 4109<br>${ }^{2}$ CSIRO, Division of Tropical Crops and Pastures, St Lucia.<br>Present Address: ACIAR, GPO Box 1571, Canberra, ACT 2601<br>${ }^{3}$ CIAT, Colombia<br>Present Address: University of Hohenheim, Kirchner str 5, Postfach 700562, D-7000 Stuttgart 70, Germany


#### Abstract

Seventy-one accessions of Centrosema pascuorum, including cvv. Cavalcade and Bundey, were evaluated in replicated nursery rows at Katherine Research Station ( $14^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 131^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$ ) during the 1984-85 wet season. There was considerable variation in herbage and seed yield, flowering date, hard seed levels, seed size and morphological characters. In general, the most vigorous accessions were late-flowering lines collected in north-eastern Brazil. Brazilian accessions were the most diverse. Accessions from Central America were early flowering and had high levels of hard seed. Venezuelan accessions had small, mottled seeds, were early to mid-season in flowering date and had intermediate seed yields and intermediate hard seed levels. At least sixteen accessions warrant further evaluation in the semi-arid tropics in comparative trials with the commercial cultivars Cavalcade and Bundey.
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## Introduction

Centrosema pascuorum is an annual, herbaceous, twining tropical pasture legume native to South and Central America. During 1967-1978, six accessions were evaluated at various times in plant introduction nurseries in northern Australia (Cameron and Mullaly 1969; O'Donnell and Smith 1975; Winter 1978; Burt and Williams 1979; Anning 1982). All six accessions were evaluated together at three sites from 19771980 (Clements et al. 1984). In that experiment, all accessions persisted (through seedling regeneration) for three years at Katherine, N.T., and several of them had higher herbage yields that Stylosanthes hamata cv. Verano. A breeding program using these six accessions commenced in 1976 with the aim of developing a cultivar suitable for dryland pastures in the higher rainfall areas of the Northern Territory (Clements et al. 1986). Additional plant collecting in tropical Latin America during 1978-83 provided many new accessions for evaluation (Schultze-Kraft 1985). This paper describes the characteristics and performance of all available accessions, including the new material and the best bred lines, in a nursery at Katherine in the 1984-85 growing season, classifies them into morphological/agronomic groups, and comments on their potential value to agriculture.
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## Introduction

Centrosema pascuorum is an annual, herbaceous, twining tropical pasture legume native to South and Central America. During 1967-1978, six accessions were evaluated at various times in plant introduction nurseries in northern Australia (Cameron and Mullaly 1969; O'Donnell and Smith 1975; Winter 1978; Burt and Williams 1979; Anning 1982). All six accessions were evaluated together at three sites from 19771980 (Clements et al. 1984). In that experiment, all accessions persisted (through seedling regeneration) for three years at Katherine, N.T., and several of them had higher herbage yields that Stylosanthes hamata cv. Verano. A breeding program using these six accessions commenced in 1976 with the aim of developing a cultivar suitable for dryland pastures in the higher rainfall areas of the Northern Territory (Clements et al. 1986). Additional plant collecting in tropical Latin America during 1978-83 provided many new accessions for evaluation (Schultze-Kraft 1985). This paper describes the characteristics and performance of all available accessions, including the new material and the best bred lines, in a nursery at Katherine in the 1984-85 growing season, classifies them into morphological/agronomic groups, and comments on their potential value to agriculture.

## Materials and methods

The experiment site was at Katherine Research Station ( $14^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{S}, 131^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{E}$, average annual rainfall 950 mm ). The soil was a Tippera clay loam (red earth) having a Northcote (1979) classification Gn 2.11. The site had been fertilised previously and used for nursery and pasture seed production activities, and no nutrient deficiencies were expected. However, an application of 50 kg superphosphate/ha was broadcast after the plants were established.

The 71 lines of $C$. pascuorum (Table 1) included 66 introduced accessions, one of which was the lateflowering cultivar Bundey (CPI 75115) released in late 1984. The other five accessions were bred lines, two of which (RJC1207 and RJC1208) had not been evaluated previously. The other three lines (RJC11/5, $12 / 4$ and $2 / 2$ ) were final selections in the program that led to the release of the cultivar Cavalcade (cross 2/2) in 1984 (Stockwell et al. 1986).

Seeds of all accessions were hand scarified and sown in peat pellets. Seedlings were inoculated with Bradyrhizobium strain CB1923 and transplanted to a cultivated seed bed in late December 1984. Each line was planted in a single row, containing 10 plants at 0.5 m intervals, in each of three replicates in a randomised block design. The rows were 4 m apart.

Weeds were controlled using chlorthal pre-emergence herbicide, applied at transplanting, interrow cultivation and hand weeding. Irrigation was used for establishment until early February, and two other irrigations, one in early March and one in late March, were necessary to avoid excessive plant moisture stress due to prolonged dry periods. Approximately 650 mm of rain fell during the four months after transplanting. This was very close to the long-term average for this period. The last effective rain ( 100 mm ) fell between 14 and 18 April, resulting in an unusually favourable late wet season.

## Measurements

## Herbage yield

This was rated visually on six occasions. The first five ratings were made at approximately fortnightly intervals between 20th February and 17th April. The final yield rating was taken on 15th May. All ratings were made on a 1(low)-5(high) basis. On two occasions (19th March and 15th May) the ratings were calibrated against yield standards, by first rating and then cutting, drying and weighing twelve plots, which were planted within the experiment for this specific purpose and which covered the range of ratings.

## Flowering and seed yield

Because C. pascuorum is an annual, particular attention was paid to the measurement of flowering behaviour, seed production and hardseededness.

The plots were observed for flowering every 1-3 days from 19th February when the first plants flowered, until 30 th April. Flowering date was defined as the date on which the first flower was seen. Numbers of flowers per plot were rated in early, mid and late April with a final rating in mid-May. A scale of 1-5 was used ( $1=0$ flowers, $2=1-50$ flowers, $3=50-250$ flowers, $4=250-1000$ flowers, and $5=>1000$ flowers per plot). This information was complemented by measuring complete plot seed yield. To achieve this, all plant material was cut and removed in mid-July, and all seed was threshed. In late July, a vacuum cleaner was used to pick up the fallen seed from each plot. Sieving, winnowing and flotation techniques were used to clean the seed. Random samples of 100 seeds were taken to measure weight per 100 seeds. These samples were stored at room temperature and then used in mid-November to measure the
percentage of hard seed. Seeds were placed on moist filter pads in petri dishes, in a constant $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ environment for 6 days. Germinated seeds were counted and removed every two days and numbers of soft seeds (not germinated) and hard seeds were also counted on the final day. Numbers of seeds per row were calculated from seed yield and 100 -seed weight.

## General observations and morphological characteristics

Several other measurements were taken during the growing season to characterise the lines. Legume little leaf disease was rated in early March and mid-April. Again, a scale of $1-5$ was used ( $1=$ no disease present, $3=2$ out of 10 plants affected and $5=5$ or more out of 10 plants affected). Stolon rooting was observed in early April and was rated on a 1(nil)-5(profuse) scale. Leaflet length and width were measured in mid-February and mid-April, by selecting an average-sized leaf from each plot on each occasion and measuring the length and breadth of the terminal leaflet. Subsequently, data from the two sampling occasions were pooled. Thus the values presented below are means for six leaflets. The seed colour was also noted. A precise system of colour recording was not possible due to a general tendency of the seed to change to a browner colour with age. However, it was possible to classify the seed into three main groups, i.e. plain brown, plain green (often with one darkish spot) or mottled. A few accessions with darker coloration were noted.

An herbarium specimen of each accessions was prepared and deposited in the CSIRO Cunningham Laboratory Herbarium. The following characters were observed or measured on representative parts of these specimens: (a) ordered multistate characters: leaflet pubescence (upper and lower surfaces), 1-3 scale; bracteole pubescence, 1-3 scale; (b) numeric attributes, all in mm: leaflet length and width; stipule length; bracteole length and width; calyx length and width; and the lengths of the upper, middle and lower calyx teeth.

## Data analysis

Agronomic data were subjected to analysis of variance and to correlation analysis. Pattern analysis of the morphological data and of some agronomic data was conducted using the TAXON package (Williams 1983). For this purpose, 22 characters were used. These were the disordered multistate character seed colour (two categories only, mottled $v s$. non-mottled seeds), the 13 characters derived from the herbarium specimens, 100 seed weights from other records and the following seven numeric characters from the field and laboratory measurements: herbage yield on 19th March and 15th May, stolen root score, flowering date, seed yield, number of seeds per row and percentage hardseededness.

## Results and discussion

## Herbage yield

There were significant positive correlations between visual ratings of herbage yield of accessions measured at six different times during the growing season (unpublished data), and also between yield estimates from calibrated ratings made in March and May (Figure 1). Even the earliest and latest visual ratings (February and May) were positively correlated ( $\mathrm{r}=0.43 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Although there were changes in the ranking of accessions with time, the estimates from the two calibrated ratings in March and May (Table 2) adequately describe the relative herbage yields of the accessions. In general, although correlations between herbage yield and flowering date were low, the highest-yielding lines were late-flowering accessions from Brazil. An exception was CPI 94279 which was the highest-yielding accession in March, and which flowered in late March but continued to grow vegetatively, perhaps because of its low seed production (Table 2). None of the late-flowering accessions outyielded 75115 (cv. Bundey) in May, whereas six accessions (all lateflowering except 94279) significantly outyielded cv. Cavalcade in March and ten accessions (all lateflowering except 94287 ) significantly outyielded Cavalcade in May.

Table 1. Identification, and details of the environment of origin of Centrosema pascuorum accessions.

| CPI ${ }^{1}$ or other Australian number | CIAT $^{2}$ number | Origin (Country, State) | Latitude | Longitude | Annual rainfall $(\mathrm{mm})^{3}$ | Number of dry months ${ }^{4}$ per year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 40060 | 5284, 5327 | Brazil, Ceará | $3^{0} 48^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $39^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1300 | 6 |
| 40063 | 5285, 5373 | Brazil, Ceará | $3^{0} 45$ 'S | $39^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1300 | 6 |
| 55697 | 5286, 5374 | Brazil, Pernambuco | $9^{0} 26$ 'S | $40^{\circ} 32{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 420 | 8 |
| 63454 |  | Brazil, Ceará | $5^{\circ} 06{ }^{\text {S }}$ | $38^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 740 | 7 |
| 65950 | 5287,5375 | Ecuador, Guayas | $2^{0} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $80^{\circ} 25^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 350 | 8 |
| 74827 | 5288, 5320 | Honduras | $14^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $87^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 830 | 6 |
| 75115* | 5289 | Brazil, Paraiba (*cv. Bundey) | $7^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $36^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 380 | 10 |
| 75116 | 5290 | Brazil, Piaui | $5^{0} 16 \mathrm{~S}$ | $42^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1370 | 5 |
| 83547 | 5196 | Venezuala, Anzoategui | $8{ }^{0} 51 \mathrm{~S}$ | $64^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1220 | 5 |
| 83842 | 5176 | Venezuala, Guarico | $8^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $65^{\circ} 09^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1210 | 5 |
| 83846 | 5293 | Venezuala | - | - | - | - |
| 84635 | 5288, 5320 | Honduras | $14^{\circ} 01^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $87^{\circ} 01^{\prime W}$ | 830 | 6 |
| 87893 | 5175 | Venezuela, Guarico | $8^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $65^{\circ} 29^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1020 | 6 |
| 87894 | 5177 | Venezuela, Anzoategui | $9^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $64^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1020 | 5 |
| 87895 | 5190 | Venezuela, Guarico | $9^{0} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $67^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1240 | 5 |
| 87896 | 5217 | Venezuela, Anzoategui |  |  | - | - |
| 87984 | 5171 | Venezuela, Aragua | $9^{\circ} 51{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $66^{\circ} 54^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1120 | 5 |
| 87989 | 5187 | Venezuela, Anzoategui | $10^{\circ} 03^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $65^{\circ} 21^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 660 | 6 |
| 87990 | 5192 | Venezuela, Guarico | $8^{\circ} 34{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | $67^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1500 | 5 |
| 88459 |  | El Salvador | $13^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $88^{\circ} 50^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 880 | 6 |
| 91318 |  | Mexico, Oaxaca | $16^{\circ} 11^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $95^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 930 | 7 |
| 91349 |  | Mexico, Oaxaca | $16^{\circ} 32 \mathrm{~N}$ | $95^{\circ} 10^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 930 | 7 |
| 92949 |  | Brazil, Piauí | - | - | - | - |
| 92960 | 5881 | Brazil, Pernambuco | ${ }^{-}$ | ${ }^{-}$ | - | - |
| 92961 | 5880 | Braizl, Piauí | $5^{0} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $42^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1370 | 5 |
| 93115 |  | Brazil, Pernambuco | $9^{0} 22$ S | $40^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 400 | 9 |
| 94271 | 468 | Unknown | - | - ${ }^{\circ}$ | - | - |
| 94272 | 5230 | Brazil, Piauí | $5^{0} 16^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $42^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1370 | 5 |
| 97273 | 5385 | Brazil, Ceará | $3^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $39^{\circ} 49^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 840 | 7 |
| 94274 | 5386 | Brazil, Ceará | $3^{0} 46$ S | $40^{\circ} 18^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 800 | 7 |
| 94275 | 5387 | Brazil, Ceará | $3^{0} 45^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $39^{\circ} 58^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 650 | 8 |
| 94276 | 5504 | Brazil, Sergipe | $10^{\circ} 54{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $37^{\circ} 04^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1550 | 5 |
| 94277 | 5506 | Brazil, Sergipe | $10^{\circ} 16{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $36^{\circ} 51^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 860 | 7 |
| 94278 | 5522 | Brazil, Rio Grande do Norte | $6^{0} 14^{\prime} \mathrm{S}$ | $35^{\circ} 12{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | 1160 | 5 |



## Stolon roots

Accessions which had high herbage yields also tended to have profuse stolon rooting (Table 2). All accessions had at least some stolon roots. With the exception of 87984 from Venezuela, the 12 lines with the best stolon root development (i.e. scores of 4.0 or higher) were all collected in north-eastern Brazil.

## Resistance to legume little leaf disease

There were no significant differences between accessions in expression of legume little leaf disease (mean rating 1.6; data not presented).

There were significant differences in plot uniformity (data not presented) which were correlated with herbage yield (i.e. uniform plots tended to be high yielding). This variation presumably included an effect due to uneven establishment as well as an effect due to genetic variability within accessions.

## Flowering and seeding

Flowering date ranged from 20th February to 5th May. The earliest accessions flowered 2-3 weeks earlier than any accession available previously (Clements et al. 1986). They also tended to have the highest percentages of hard seeds. The six accessions from Central America were all early flowering, and all of them except 88459 had high levels of hard seeds (69-94\%). Accessions which flowered after the end of March (e.g. $75115-\mathrm{cv}$. Bundey) had low seed yields (Figure 2), presumably due to drought stress, despite the rain which fell during 14-18 April. This led to a negative correlation ( $\mathrm{r}=-0.45 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) between flowering date and seed yield, as observed previously in a smaller set of accessions and crosses (Clements et al. 1986). Scores of flower production (data not presented) were virtually useless as a guide to seed yield, although the correlation between these characters was statistically significant ( $\mathrm{r}=0.31 ; \mathrm{P}<0.01$ ). Flower production scores were more closely correlated with the number of seeds produced per row ( $\mathrm{r}=0.48 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) although the relationship was still poor. These poor relationships may reflect the failure of many flowers to set seed due to moisture stress at critical times. The cultivar Cavalcade had the highest flower production score.

Although all seed was harvested in a similar manner, there was a range in hardseededness of $13-94 \%$. Early flowering accessions would have set seed during hotter weather, and this may have influenced the percentage of hard seeds, but it is clear that genetic differences also occurred between accessions flowering on similar dates.

Seed number per row was quite closely correlated with seed yield ( $\mathrm{r}=0.82 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), but, at the upper end of the scale, there were some notable discrepancies caused by variation in 100 -seed weight. The relatively small-seeded lines 94292,94300 and 94294 had high seed numbers relative to their seed yields, while for the heavy-seeded lines 65950 and 95559 (and to a lesser extent 94289) the situation was reversed.

There was no consistent relationship between seed yield and seed size ( 100 -seed weight). For example 65950, the only accession from Ecuador, had very large seeds and a high seed yield, in agreement with previous results for this accession (Clements et al. 1984, 1986). One large-seeded accession from northeastern Brazil (95559) was also high-yielding, one (95558) was rather low-yielding and the remainder ( $94273,94274,94275$ and 95551) were intermediate. Most of the 21 accessions from Venezuela had small, mottled seeds, were early to mid-season with respect to flowering date and had intermediate seed yields ( $200-400 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{row}$ ) and intermediate hard seed levels ( $30-65 \%$ ). Exceptions were 83846 (larger seeds), three accessions which flowered in early April and a few lines with lower or higher seeds yields or with hard seed outside the intermediate range.


Estimated Yield (kg/row) 19/3/85
Figure 1. Relationship between estimated herbage yield of 71 accessions of C. pascuorum on two occasions during the 1984-85 growing season at Katherine, N.T. Some accessions mentioned in the text are identified. Correlations: $\mathrm{r}=0.75(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$; Spearman's rank correlation $\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{s}}=0.64(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$. Bars indicate least significant differences ( $\mathrm{P}=0.05$ ).

Table 2. Characteristics and performance of Centrosema pascuorum accessions grown at Katherine Research Station in 1984/85.

| Accession | Herbage yield |  |  | Flowering date |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $19 / 3 / 85$ <br> (kg/row) | 15/5/85 (kg/row) | Stolon root score ${ }^{1}$ | (days after $31 / 12 / 84)$ | Seed yield (g/row) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Seed } \\ \text { number } \\ \text { (000 row) } \end{gathered}$ | Soft viable seed (\%) | Hard seed (\%) | $100 \text {-seed }$ <br> weight (g) | Seed colour $^{2}$ | Leaflet width (mm) | Leaflet length (mm) | M/A group |
| 40060 | 0.8 | 2.5 | 3.2 | 104 | 113 | 8.4 | 26 | 52 | 1.35 | G | 12 | 106 | B7 |
| 40063 | 1.2 | 1.9 | 4.8 | 91 | 368 | 27.3 | 14 | 81 | 1.35 | G | 27 | 78 | B3 |
| 55697 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 2.5 | 87 | 53 | 2.9 | 45 | 34 | 1.78 | B | 15 | 121 | B6 |
| 63454 | 0.4 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 96 | 278 | 24.0 | 19 | 48 | 1.16 | B | 8 | 117 | B5 |
| 65950 | 1.3 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 67 | 708 | 28.5 | 8 | 89 | 2.48 | G | 27 | 130 | B4 |
| 74827 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 66 | 376 | 47.0 | 16 | 81 | 0.80 | DB | 12 | 96 | A5 |
| 75115* | 1.4 | 8.4 | 4.3 | 105 | 6 | 0.4 | 17 | 64 | 1.70 | B | 16 | 136 | B5 |
| 75116 | 0.4 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 97 | 168 | 9.9 | 20 | 57 | 1.70 | G | 10 | 114 | B6 |
| 83547 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 83 | 272 | 34.0 | 23 | 49 | 0.80 | M | 10 | 96 | A4 |
| 83842 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.7 | 80 | 206 | 24.8 | 20 | 63 | 0.83 | M | 10 | 115 | A3 |
| 83846 | 0.8 | 1.0 | 3.0 | 74 | 218 | 13.1 | 28 | 54 | 1.66 | M | 11 | 111 | A4 |
| 84635 | 0.2 | 0.9 | 2.0 | 67 | 301 | 19.9 | 15 | 80 | 1.51 | DB | 13 | 94 | A5 |
| 87893 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 73 | 362 | 45.8 | 14 | 53 | 0.79 | M | 10 | 111 | A2 |
| 87894 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 3.0 | 76 | 317 | 40.1 | 26 | 47 | 0.79 | M | 11 | 121 | A4 |
| 87895 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 2.7 | 85 | 241 | 30.9 | 26 | 54 | 0.78 | M | 11 | 117 | A4 |
| 87896 | . 04 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 98 | 51 | 7.7 | 13 | 28 | 0.66 | M | 10 | 91 | A4 |
| 87984 | 1.2 | 5.3 | 4.0 | 84 | 179 | 25.6 | 14 | 16 | 0.70 | M | 32 | 73 | A1 |
| 87989 | 1.6 | 2.9 | 2.3 | 75 | 318 | 40.8 | 32 | 51 | 0.78 | M | 12 | 91 | A2 |
| 87990 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 95 | 154 | 17.3 | 16 | 60 | 0.89 | M | 10 | 90 | A3 |
| 88459 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.3 | 69 | 413 | 21.5 | 27 | 47 | 1.92 | M | 10 | 118 | A3 |
| 91318 | 0.6 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 67 | 329 | 23.3 | 4 | 94 | 1.41 | M | 12 | 98 | A3 |
| 91349 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1.8 | 63 | 193 | 17.5 | 21 | 74 | 1.10 | M | 11 | 96 | A3 |
| 92949 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 3.3 | 68 | 526 | 37.6 | 14 | 64 | 1.40 | B | 12 | 116 | A5 |
| 92960 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 3.7 | 98 | 272 | 17.4 | 26 | 42 | 1.56 | G | 11 | 112 | B5 |
| 92961 | 0.9 | 4.3 | 3.7 | 95 | 254 | 17.0 | 34 | 33 | 1.49 | G | 10 | 120 | B5 |
| 93115 | 1.2 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 70 | 320 | 28.3 | 13 | 63 | 1.13 | B | 11 | 111 | B4 |
| 94271 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.0 | 51 | 218 | 22.2 | 4 | 88 | 0.98 | B | 6 | 96 | A5 |
| 94272 | 0.5 | 1.2 | 2.8 | 97 | 89 | 6.4 | 20 | 58 | 1.39 | G | 10 | 109 | B6 |
| 94273 | 2.3 | 8.4 | 4.8 | 95 | 202 | 7.0 | 40 | 21 | 2.88 | B | 15 | 135 | B1 |
| 94274 | 2.4 | 7.6 | 4.7 | 96 | 376 | 14.3 | 42 | 39 | 2.63 | G | 22 | 132 | B1 |
| 94275 | 1.3 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 92 | 340 | 11.8 | 44 | 23 | 2.89 | B | 37 | 90 | B2 |


| 94276 | 1.8 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 92 | 36 | 3.4 | 24 | 26 | 1.05 | B | 8 | 117 | B5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 94277 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 3.7 | 70 | 7 | 0.7 | 28 | 42 | 0.96 | B | 12 | 112 | B7 |
| 94278 | 1.9 | 8.1 | 4.3 | 105 | 13 | 1.1 | 23 | 17 | 1.20 | B | 12 | 119 | B3 |
| 94279 | 2.5 | 5.3 | 4.7 | 80 | 30 | 3.1 | 24 | 23 | 0.98 | B | 11 | 100 | B5 |
| 94280 | 1.6 | 7.1 | 4.3 | 98 | 18 | 1.6 | 24 | 51 | 1.16 | B | 24 | 75 | B5 |
| 94281 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 4.0 | 107 | 100 | 8.5 | 15 | 56 | 1.17 | B | 21 | 103 | B7 |
| 94282 | 0.7 | 1.3 | 2.5 | 96 | 34 | 2.3 | 35 | 40 | 1.47 | B | 12 | 129 | B6 |
| 94283 | 2.0 | 8.6 | 4.2 | 100 | 205 | 13.8 | 38 | 36 | 1.49 | B | 19 | 134 | B1 |
| 94284 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 3.0 | 97 | 65 | 6.3 | 25 | 55 | 1.04 | B | 11 | 101 | B5 |
| 94285 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 3.0 | 106 | 2 | 0.2 | 30 | 44 | 1.32 | B | 16 | 116 | B7 |
| 94286 | 0.3 | 2.8 | 3.2 | 101 | 21 | 1.7 | 25 | 26 | 1.21 | B | 11 | 98 | B7 |
| 94287 | 1.2 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 87 | 17 | 1.7 | 18 | 20 | 0.98 | B | 13 | 121 | B5 |
| 94288 | 1.0 | 5.6 | 3.2 | 125 | 2 | 0.1 | 26 | 64 | 1.76 | B | 10 | 137 | B5 |
| 94289 | 0.9 | 3.6 | 2.7 | 90 | 760 | 45.5 | 17 | 70 | 1.67 | G | 8 | 120 | B4 |
| 94290 | 0.6 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 95 | 235 | 30.9 | 15 | 32 | 0.76 | M | 9 | 106 | A4 |
| 94291 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 2.6 | 86 | 123 | 18.6 | 25 | 34 | 0.66 | M | 13 | 86 | A2 |
| 94292 | 1.1 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 73 | 598 | 66.4 | 20 | 68 | 0.90 | M | 18 | 83 | A3 |
| 94293 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 73 | 212 | 29.9 | 23 | 49 | 0.71 | DM | 13 | 104 | A3 |
| 94294 | 0.8 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 82 | 410 | 54.7 | 17 | 61 | 0.75 | DM | 13 | 96 | A3 |
| 94295 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 1.8 | 87 | 42 | 7.1 | 19 | 44 | 0.59 | M | 12 | 107 | A4 |
| 94296 | 1.4 | 5.2 | 3.4 | 78 | 232 | 29.0 | 37 | 33 | 0.80 | M | 27 | 74 | A1 |
| 94297 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 3.4 | 78 | 228 | 30.4 | 26 | 32 | 0.75 | M | 27 | 70 | Al |
| 94298 | 1.0 | 4.3 | 2.8 | 80 | 207 | 26.2 | 29 | 28 | 0.79 | M | 13 | 81 | A4 |
| 94299 | 0.8 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 75 | 164 | 21.9 | 25 | 54 | 0.75 | DM | 7 | 86 | A2 |
| 94300 | 1.4 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 67 | 481 | 60.9 | 22 | 63 | 0.79 | M | 10 | 116 | A2 |
| 95540 | 0.7 | 4.9 | 2.5 | 111 | 38 | 3.5 | 20 | 46 | 1.09 | B | 29 | 76 | B3 |
| 95551 | 0.9 | 5.1 | 3.0 | 88 | 242 | 12.2 | 37 | 13 | 1.99 | B | 35 | 105 | B2 |
| 95557 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 1.9 | 69 | 291 | 18.3 | 18 | 69 | 1.59 | DB | 13 | 106 | B7 |
| 95558 | 0.7 | 5.4 | 2.8 | 83 | 86 | 3.7 | 43 | 24 | 2.33 | B | 36 | 98 | B2 |
| 95559 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 93 | 685 | 34.3 | 30 | 57 | 2.00 | G | 9 | 119 | B4 |
| 99778 | 0.6 | 1.2 | 2.2 | 78 | 68 | 3.7 | 31 | 50 | 1.84 | G | 9 | 112 | B6 |
| 99779 | 1.2 | 5.4 | 3.6 | 84 | 500 | 29.8 | 37 | 39 | 1.68 | B | 10 | 129 | A5 |
| Q9855 | 1.2 | 5.6 | 3.4 | 102 | 8 | 0.6 | 25 | 39 | 1.33 | DB | 13 | 140 | B5 |
| Q10050 | 0.5 | 2.6 | 2.3 | 100 | 26 | 1.7 | 24 | 61 | 1.55 | G | 14 | 142 | B7 |
| CQ1576 | 0.6 | 1.4 | 2.1 | 80 | 23 | 2.1 | 43 | 27 | 1.11 | B | 10 | 109 | B6 |
| RJC11/5 | 1.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 89 | 225 | 13.7 | 30 | 53 | 1.64 | G | 12 | 133 | B6 |
| RJC12/4 | 0.4 | 2.9 | 2.1 | 79 | 82 | 5.0 | 30 | 38 | 1.65 | DB | 11 | 127 | B6 |
| RJC1207 | 1.0 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 105 | 22 | 1.2 | 26 | 53 | 1.85 | B | 13 | 133 | B5 |
| RJC1208 | 0.8 | 3.4 | 2.8 | 97 | 30 | 2.0 | 30 | 20 | 1.51 | B | 13 | 131 | B6 |
| Cavalcade | 0.9 | 2.6 | 2.8 | 82 | 122 | 6.9 | 25 | 59 | 1.77 | G | 11 | 124 | B6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{LSD} \\ & (\mathrm{P}=0.05) \end{aligned}$ | 0.8 | 3.0 | 1.0 | 8 | 275 |  | 16 | 25 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |

$(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$
*cv. Bundey ${ }^{1}$ Stolon root development scored on 1 (nil) -5 (profuse) basis ${ }^{2} \mathrm{G}=$ green, $\mathrm{B}=$ Brown, $\mathrm{D}=$ dark, $\mathrm{M}=$ mottled

## Leaflet shape

The measurements on leaflet length and width allowed identification of two extreme groups of accessions, based mainly on the length:width ratio, as follows:

accessions with broad-leaflets<br>(length:width ratio $<5$; leaflet width $>18 \mathrm{~mm}$ )

# accessions with very narrow leaflets <br> (length:width ratio $>12$; leaflet width usually $<10 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) 

| 40063 | 63454 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 65950 | 94271 |
| 87984 | 94276 |
| 94275 | 94289 |
| 94280 | 94299 |
| 94281 | 95559 |
| 94292 | 99778 |
| 94296 | 99779 |
| 94297 |  |
| 95540 |  |
| 95551 |  |

The distribution of leaflet length:width ratio was bimodal, with the broad-leaflet group forming a distinct subset. The separation of the very narrow-leaflet group was more arbitrary. Two-thirds of the accessions had a leaflet length:width ratio in the range 7-12. The accession with the narrowest leaflets, 94271, was also the earliest flowering (see below) and was one of the lines which diverged most from the relationship between flowering date and seed yield (Figure 2). Leaves with four or five leaflets were observed commonly in 83547 and less commonly in 65950 (the species normally has leaves with three leaflets).

## Morphological/agronomic (M/A) groups

The accessions were classified into $12 \mathrm{M} / \mathrm{A}$ groups as shown in Table 2, and the main features of the 12 groups are summarised in Figure 3. The dendrogram was truncated at this point because it was at this level at which the last of the M/A groups with broad leaflets, a striking morphological feature, was created.

The separation which gave by far the greatest information gain was the primary splitting of the accessions into two super-groups. One (super-group A) mainly contained the Venezuelan and Central/North American accessions and the other (B) containing most accessions from Brazil, the single accession from Ecuador and the five bred lines. Only two of the 37 Brazilian accessions ( 92949 and 99779) were placed in supergroup A and nearest neighbour analysis showed that they resembled most closely the accessions from Honduras.

The attributes that contributed most strongly to the separation of the super-groups were: seed mottling (Venezuelan accessions had mottled seeds), number of seeds per row (super-group A had more seeds) and flowering date (super-group A flowered earliest on average). As noted previously, the Venezuelan and Central/North American accessions (super-group A) were generally lower yielding.

Within super-group B, separation of M/A groups B1 and B2 from the remaining accessions was due mainly to their larger leaflets, bracteoles and calyces (including calyx teeth), their bigger seeds and, particularly in the case of group B1, superior herbage yield.


Figure 2. Relationship between seed yield and flowering date of 71 C. pascuorum accessions grown at Katherine, N.T., during the 1984-85 growing season. Some accessions mentioned in the text are identified. Correlation: $\mathrm{r}=-0.45(\mathrm{P}<0.001)$. Bars indicate least significant differences $(\mathrm{P}=0.05)$.

## Agronomic implications

Because of the limited nature of this experiment (including the use of irrigation), caution is needed when one moves from broad generalisations to consider the detailed performance of individual accessions. However, the following accessions and M/A groups deserve special mention. M/A group A5 contained five accessions, four of which flowered 14-31 days earlier than cv. Cavalcade, and most of which had high levels of hardseededness but low herbage yields. CPI 94271 stood our as an exceptionally early-flowering accession with very high hard seed levels. Accession 94271 and the other seven lines (65950, 74827, 84635, 91318, 91349, 92949 and 94300 ) that flowered at least two weeks earlier than cv. Cavalcade should all be evaluated at a site south of Katherine to see if a new cultivar could be developed that would extend the range of adaptation of $C$. pascuorum to areas that have too short a wet season for cv. Cavalcade. Several accessions (Figure 1) produced high herbage yields, while others (Figure 2) gave high seed yields, but only 94274,94273 and 94283 gave high yields of forage and relatively good yields of seed. However, these three lines flowered 13-18 days later than cv. Cavalcade and had lower hard seed levels, and these characteristics may limit their agricultural usefulness. They should be evaluated more widely in comparative trials with Cavalcade in the Top End of the Northern Territory and in other semi-arid tropical areas. Five accessions ( $94289,94292,94294,94300$ and 95559 ) with very high seed yields or seed numbers but only moderate herbage yields could be added to this list of promising material.
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Figure 3. Dendrogram and mean values for 8 attributes of 12 groups of $C$. pascuorum
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