### SOILS AND FERTILIZERS FOR SOWN PASTURES IN MALAYSIA

F. S. C. P. KALPAGE\*

### SUMMARY

Development of the dairy and beef cattle industries in Malaysia depends largely on the successful establishment of improved pastures. In pasture management, soil fertility problems are mainly those associated with nutrient supply. Improved permanent pastures have been established on a number of different soils on which deficiencies of phosphorus, potassium, molybdenum and copper are likely. In legume based pastures on newly cleared forest soils, nitrogen may not be necessary for establishment or maintenance. Considerable savings in fertilizer costs are possible by using legumes to provide the nitrogen component. At 1976 prices, fertilizers for maintaining nitrogen-fertilized pastures and 'cut and carry' grass fodders cost three and six times as much respectively as for legume based pastures.

### INTRODUCTION

In the context of current plans for economic development in Malaysia, the expansion and growth of the livestock industry is considered to be crucial. The non-ruminant component (poultry and pigs) has developed rapidly while the ruminant section (cattle and goats) has lagged behind.

Beef contributes only about four percent and dairy products seven percent to the consumption of animal protein in peninsular Malaysia; poultry meat and eggs contribute nearly 70 percent and pig meat 17 percent. The estimated per capita consumption of beef in 1975 was 3.09 kg and the demand in 1985 has been projected at 3.29 kg (Mahendranathan 1975). Development of the beef cattle industry envisaged during the next decade will depend largely on the successful establishment of improved pastures which can provide a continuous supply of feed with minimal overhead cost (Kerridge et al. 1974). Investigations at the Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI), the programs of the Veterinary Services, and the countrywide development projects of the Livestock Development Board (MAJUTERNAK) are being directed to the establishment and maintenance of improved pastures for the anticipated expansion of the beef and dairy cattle populations.

In Malaysia there is little conservation of pasture herbage. There has been some production of dried grass in meal and pellet form (McMichael et al. 1974), but grass is used mainly as fresh herbage for grazing or 'cut and carry' feeding. In the management of pastures, soil fertility problems encountered are largely those associated with nutrient supply, and fertilizer programs are of considerable importance.

#### SOILS FOR SOWN PASTURES

## Native grassland in Malaysia

None of the main types of natural grassland is found in Malaysia as a stable climatic climax vegetation. This is perhaps the reason why grass has not been extensively used for livestock production and reference to grassland is very scanty in the literature. Early work on the productivity of pastures in Malaysia has been reported by Camoens (1972). However neither the land capability classification of Panton (1966) nor the soil suitability classification of Wong (1966) refer to grassland. In discussing the land use of West Malaysia in 1966, Wong (1971) mapped 'improved permanent pasture' and 'grassland and scrub grassland'.

<sup>\*</sup> Faculty of Agriculture, University of Malaya.

'Improved permanent pasture', referred to areas where experimental pastures had been sown. There are at present about 6000 ha of permanent pastures in peninsular Malaysia all of which are located on well-drained, gently sloping (0-6°), low hills.

Nearly 400,000 ha of 'grassland and scrub grassland' have been mapped throughout peninsular Malaysia but none of it is in contiguous large tracts. The main constituent is 'lalang' (*Imperata cylindrica*) often mixed with low bushes and ferns (*Gleichenia* spp.). In the east coast, much of the 'grassland and scrub grassland' is on sandy beach ridges. On the west, it is located in the upland region as abandoned allotments on the edges of developed land. Some abandoned areas of shifting cultivation are also under grass.

Mahendranathan (1974) estimated about 38,000 ha of gazetted grazing reserves in peninsular Malaysia, but these are mostly on poor soils, their productivity is low, and they have deteriorated through neglect.

There is considerable scope for developing pastures, integrated as an intercrop, in plantations with coconut, oil palm, rubber and fruit trees. Ng (1969) refers to the possibility of combining pastures with livestock under coconut plantations on the east coast beach sands ('bris' soils).

# Malaysian pasture soils

Pastures have been established on all types of soils. In fact, the less fertile soils that are marginal for arable or plantation crops are usually used for sown pastures. Fertility problems encountered are mainly those associated with nutrient deficiencies or toxicities and these can be corrected by proper management. Soil physical properties such as hard pans and poor drainage can limit pasture growth. Hard pans can be broken by tillage and poor drainage corrected by drains. Poorly drained heavy clay soils are often unsuitable for grazed pastures.

Improved permanent pastures have been established on (a) *Ultisols* of the Rengam, Serdang, Durian and Bungor series (b) *Oxisols* of the Munchong, Jerangau, Malacca and Kuantan series (c) Sandy *Entisols* ('bris' soils) of the east coast, and of the Holyrood series.

Analytical data on some of these soils is given in Table 1. The soils are all acidic, varying in texture from sandy (Rudua and Serdang series) to clayey (Kuantan series). Their carbon and nitrogen contents are generally low. They have low total phosphorus (except for the Kuantan series) while phosphorus fixation is relatively large as indicated by the low NaOH-soluble P values. Cation exchange capacities vary between about 8 to 17 meq percent while the amounts of exchangeable bases are small; exchangeable K is usually less than the 0.2 meq K 100 g<sup>-1</sup> soil which is the critical value below which potassium deficiency can result. Kerridge and Tham (1974) reported a universal deficiency to phosphorus in establishing legumes and grasses and suggested that deficiencies to potassium, molybdenums and copper may also occur.

## FERTILIZERS FOR PASTURES

# Principles of pasture fertilization

Some differences in the nature of pastures in contrast to field crops will affect the choice of fertilizer program. Pastures are continuously grazed or cut for stall feeding at frequent intervals of four to six weeks whereas most arable crops are harvested after three to four months and replanted. Tropical grasses have high photosynthetic rates and dry matter production is therefore higher than in dicotyledenous field crops whose photosynthetic rates are lower. In legume based pastures, much of the nitrogen can be supplied by the legume component whereas nitrogen in arable cropping is usually given as nitrogenous fertilizer.

Fertilizer programs depend on the system of pasture utilization, whether for fodder as fresh herbage, hay, dried grass and silage, or for grazing. Pasture used for cut

TABLE 1 Analytical data on some Malaysian soils

	Texture				4			Exch. cation	s		
lay	silt %	sand	υ% <sub>.</sub>	z%	total NaC ppn	NaOH-sol. ppm	రో	Mg med	$ m K$ $100~g^{-1}$	CEC	%BS
38		52	1.45	0.12	113	36	0.22	0.33	0.12	8.3	6
	10	65	0.94	0.08	109	30	0.22	0.27	80.0	0·8	.∞
	20	25	1.48	0.13	80	37	0.27	0.33	0.13	12.7	9
	14	53	0.97	0.0	165	4	0.33	0.31	60.0	8.5	10
	16	40	1.22	0.11	156	53	0.32	0.38	0.13	11.6	00
	9	46	1.85	0.19	281	64	0.29	0.25	0.11	11.9	7
	11	31	1.51	0.13	207	29	0.34	0.34	0.13	12.0	7
	14	16	2.40	0.18	581	185	0-41	0.39	0.0	17-2	9
	5	72	1-51	0.13	370	75	0.35	0.50	0.07	0.6	œ
	0	8	0.52	0.03	1	92	0.02	0.45	0.03	4.6	15

fodder is fertilized in the same way as arable crops; adequate nutrients must be supplied to make up for those removed in the herbage and for other losses, mainly by leaching.

Fertilizer application on grazed pasture depends on the methods of management employed. The nature and extent of the legume component will determine the amounts of nitrogen used. More nutrients are needed when animal products such as milk are sold off the farm. Grazing animals return some nutrients in the form of dung and urine; beef cattle return most of the phosphorus and potassium in their urine and dung, whereas with dairy cows appreciable removal losses of these elements can occur.

Fertilizer is necessary for the establishment as well as for maintenance of sown pastures.

Sources of nutrients

Among the chemical fertilizers used to supply nitrogen for pastures are ammonium sulphate, urea, ammonium nitrate, and calcium ammonium nitrate. Ammonium sulphate supplies not only nitrogen but also sulphur and is to be preferred whenever sulphur deficiency is expected. Continuous addition of ammonium sulphate induces acidity and lime will have to be used to correct this. Less lime is needed if urea is used but losses of nitrogen due to volatilization of ammonia can be serious under hot humid Malaysian climatic conditions. Perhaps the best nitrogenous fertilizers for pastures are ammonium nitrate and calcium ammonium nitrate, which supply N both as NH<sub>4</sub> and NO<sub>3</sub>. But in Malaysia, the unit cost of nitrogen in ammonium nitrate and in calcium ammonium nitrate (M\$1.5) is more than in urea (M\$0.8) or even in ammonium sulphate (M\$1.4) at 1976 fertilizer prices (Table 2).

Christmas Island rock phosphate (CIRP) is the cheapest source of phosphorus available, containing 15% P, most of which is however water insoluble, and 35% Ca (Table 2). Triple superphosphate (20% P and 14% Ca) contains nearly all of its phosphate in the water-soluble form but is more than twice as costly per ton (Table 2). On acid soils with a high phosphorus fixing capacity the water soluble phosphate in triple superphosphate will be reverted to insoluble forms. It would perhaps be advisable to use triple superphosphate, or a mixture of triple and CIRP for establishment of pastures, and CIRP for maintenance dressings.

Muriate of potash is the least expensive form of potassium available, containing 50% K. Sulphate of potash-magnesia supplies both Mg and S in addition to K but its K content is only 22% and the unit cost of K in it (M\$1.4) is more than in muriate of potash (M\$0.6).

Farmyard manure and poultry manure are valuable materials for fertilizing pastures and should be used whenever available. The utilization of chemical fertilizers is enhanced when applied together with some organic matter (Camoens 1972). One ton of average FYM contains approximately the same amounts of nutrients as 100 kg of fertilizer grading 10–6–10. As FYM is generally low in P it should be supplemented with triple superphosphate to avoid nutrient imbalance. One ton of poultry manure usually contains about the same amounts of nutrients as 100 kg of fertilizer grading 20–16–10. It is relatively high in nitrogen and phosphorus but low in potassium and should therefore be supplemented with potassium. Camoens (1972) found poultry manure to be better than cow dung for Napier grass on a Holyrood series sandy loam.

## Rates and times of application

Crop response data from fertilizer trials on different types of soil would ideally be needed to make recommendations on rates of fertilizer application. Fertilizer costs and expected prices of livestock products sold, the pasture plants grown, soil moisture regimes, and grazing patterns or utilization management of the pasture would also influence the amount of fertilizer that should be applied.

Generally, nitrogen is best given in the form of split applications after every cutting or grazing. For most tropical grasses 25-50 kg N ha $^{-1}$  could be applied under

TABLE 2
Analyses of some inorganic fertilizers used in Malaysia

Price/metric ton M\$	290 400 380 400 390 700	700 250 250 260 50	300 650 305	04 04	50 350 700	1	450 1000 500 1500 800 M818/ nor log
Mo	111111	11111	111	[]	111	1	[[]] 8
Д	1111111	[]]]]	111	11	]   [	}	11112
Zu	1111111	11111	111	11	[]]	1	
ਹ	111111	11111	111	11	111	1	1   25
Mn		11111	111	[ ]	111	I	23
Fe	111111		111	11	111	l	4
w	<b>4</b> 12 1 1 1 1	[4]]	<del>18</del>	11	23 13	18	11 11 11 12 11
Mg	111111	11112	110	5	12 16 10	1	11111
ర		20 14 35 35	111	36 39	411	23	11111
×	39	11111	25 22 22		111	1	11111
4		98 15 9	111		[ ] ]	I	11111
z	1288288 288888 13888888	16	111	11	[1]	I	1111
Fertilizer	NITROGEN SOURCES Ammonium sulphate Ammonium nitrate (Nitro-26) Ammonium sulphate nitrate Calcium ammonium nitrate Ammonium chloride Urea	PHOSPHOKUS SOURCES Di-ammonium phosphate Originary superphosphate Triple superphosphate Rock phosphate (CIRP) Basic slag	Muriate of potash Sulphate of potash Sulphate of potash Sulphate of potash	CALCLUM SOURCES Limestone dust Calcium carbonate fert, grade	Ground magnesium limestone Kieserite Epsom salt	Gypsum Transing Court Care	MICKONO I KIENT SOOKCES Ferrous sulphate Manganese sulphate Copper sulphate Zinc sulphate High grade borate

 $2.45 \,\mathrm{M\$} = 1 \,\mathrm{A\$}$ 

favourable rainfall conditions. Slightly higher rates could be used for fodder grasses

such as Napier.

Phosphorus application at establishment of pastures may be 70–80 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> as CIRP and 20–30 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> as triple superphosphate, in a single application before seeding or planting. For maintenance, 30–60 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> as CIRP once a year is recommended for grazed pastures, with the higher value of 60 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> for cut-and-carry grass fodders.

More potassium fertilizer is needed when forage is cut and fed than when pastures are grazed. It is best to do a soil test and apply potassium fertilizer when the K content of the soil is low, less than about 0.2 meq K/100 g soil. If too much potassium is applied at the same time 'luxury uptake' may occur and consequently a reduced intake, as well as enhanced leaching of Ca, Mg and Na. It may therefore be better to apply potassium in split dressings with the nitrogen.

## Methods of application

In establishing grassland best results are obtained by placing phosphate fertilizer in a band five to ten cm below and somewhat to the side of the seed. Broadcasting fertilizer encourages weed growth and greater phosphate reversion in acid soils with much iron and aluminium. Rock phosphate may however be worked into the soil

TABLE 3

Cost of fertilizer for sown pastures

	-		Establishment		Mainte	
Type of Grassland	Nutrient	Fertilizer	Rate kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Cost ha <sup>1</sup> * M\$	Rate kg ha <sup>-1</sup>	Cost ha <sup>-1*</sup> M\$
I Grass/Legume Pasture	N P K Ca/Mg Mo	TSP CIRP MP DL	20P 70-90P 50-75K 200DL 100 g Mo	55 139 38 10 5	30–60P 50–75K	78 38
		Cost per l Cost per a		247 100		116 47
II Grass Pasture	N P K Ca/Mg	Urea as for I al	50N pove	38	300N or I above 75–100K or I above	252 78 52
		Cost per l Cost per a		284 115		382 155
III Grass Fodder 'Cut and Carry'	N P K Ca/Mg	as for II a as for I al		194 up 1	to 600N to 60P to 200K	509 104 120
		Cost per l Cost per a		284 115		733 297
Summary of costs per acre		Establishment M\$		Maintenance M\$		
Grass/Legume Past Grass Pasture Grass Fodder	ure	100 115 115		47 155 297		

TSP = triple superphosphate,

MP = muriate of potash \*Cost of average application.

CIRP = Christmas Island rock phosphate
DL = dolomitic limestone

superficially when it is disc harrowed before sowing. Superphosphate should be drilled in together with the seed. Maintenance applications can only be applied to the surface.

## Cost of fertilizer

Fertilizer prices in 1976 are generally 30–40 percent less than they were in 1975. Even so, fertilizers constitute a major proportion of the costs involved in establishing and maintaining sown pastures. Table 3 gives estimated fertilizer costs for the establishment and maintenance of pastures in Malaysia, based on 1976 fertilizer prices. Where a range of fertilizer rates is recommended, fertilizer cost has been calculated on the average rate.

Nitrogen has been omitted from recommendations for establishing and maintaining grass/legume pastures; in some instances, however, 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> may be used in establishing grass/legume swards. Some triple superphosphate could be used as a starter for all types of grassland but the cheaper rock phosphate is just as effective for annual maintenance dressings.

Considerable savings in fertilizer costs could be affected by using legumes to provide the nitrogen component. At 1976 prices, fertilizer applications for maintaining grass/legume pastures cost about one-third that for nitrogen fertilized grass pastures, and about one-sixth that for maintaining grassland for cut and carry feeding. When nitrogenous fertilizers cost more in 1975, the respective costs were one-fourth and one-eighth respectively.

However, carrying capacity and animal production levels per hectare are higher on nitrogen fertilized pastures. Further research is required to compare animal production on nitrogen fertilized and legume based pastures so that economies of production can be better compared.

#### REFERENCES

- CAMOENS, J. K. (1972)—An investigation into the possibility of utilizing sandy loam for cattle development. Bulletin 126. Ministry of Agriculture and Fisheries, Malaysia.
- KERRIDGE, P. C., and THAM, K. C. (1974)—Nutrient requirements for establishment of legume-grass pastures on inland soils of peninsular Malaysia. Symposium on Classification and Management of Malaysian soils. Kota Kinabalu, Sabah, November, 1974.
- Kerridge, P. C., Sidhu, Ajit Singh, and Mannetje, L. 't (1974)—Pasture for cattle production in the wet tropics. Symposium on Self-Sufficiency in Feeding-stuffs for Increasing Animal Production. Bulletin 137. Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Malaysia. pp. 61-72.
- Mahendranathan, T. (1974)—The significance of self-sufficiency. Symposium on Feedingstuffs for Increasing Animal Production. Bulletin 137. Ministry for Agriculture and Rural Development, Malaysia. pp. 30-57.
- Mahendranathan, T. (1975)—The role of the veterinary services in cattle (beef) production. Paper presented at the 'Continuing Education Programme in Animal Production and Health-Beef Cattle'. Universiti Pertanian, Malaysia.
- McMichael, H., Tan, H. T., and De Jong, P. (1974)—Cultivation and dehydration of grass in the humid tropics. Symposium on Self-Sufficiency in Feedingstuffs for Increasing Animal Production. Bulletin 137, Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development, Malaysia. pp. 73-80.
- NG, S. K. (1969)—Soil resources in Malaya. In Natural Resources in Malaysia and Singapore. Proceedings of the Second Symposium on Scientific and Technological Research in Malaysia and Singapore (1967). Edited by B. C. Stone, University of Malaya. pp. 141-151.

- Panton, W. P. (1966)—Land capability classification in the States of Malaya, Malaysia. Proceedings of the Second Malaysian Soil Conference, Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.
- Wong, I. G. T. (1966)—Soil suitability classification for dryland crops in Malaya.

  Proceedings of the Second Malaysian Soil Conference, Kuala Lumpur,
  Malaysia.
- Wong, I. G. T. (1971)—The Present Land Use of West Malaysia. Ministry for Agriculture & Lands, Malaysia.